

## Assignment 2

# 1 Part I

Lexicon:

- (1) a. /dalbuge/  
b. /dibumpo/  
c. /griluda/

Rules:

- (2) a. labialization: [-labial] → [+round] / u \_ V  
b. color harmony: u → i / i C<sub>0</sub> \_

If the rules in (2) apply simultaneously, the surface language will consist of /dalbug<sup>w</sup>e/ (only labialization applies here), /dibimpo/ (only color harmony applies here), and /grilid<sup>w</sup>a/ (both rules apply here).

If labialization applies before color harmony, the surface language will be the same as if both rules applied simultaneously: /dalbug<sup>w</sup>e/, /dibimpo/, and /grilid<sup>w</sup>a/. In the case of /griluda/, color harmony counterbleeds labialization because if color harmony had applied first, it would have turned the /u/ into /i/ and thus prevent labialization.

If color harmony applies before labialization, then the first two words will still surface as /dalbug<sup>w</sup>e/ and /dibimpo/, but the third word will surface as /grilida/. Here, color harmony bleeds labialization.

## 2 Part II

The first set of data provided on singular and plural forms in Kasem is the following:

	<i>singular</i>	<i>plural</i>	
a.	bakada	bakadi	'boy'
b.	sada	sadi	'grass matt'
c.	mimina	mimini	'thin'
d.	fala	fali	'white man'
e.	tula	tuli	'granary'
f.	kukuda	kukudi	'dog'
g.	fana	fani	'knife'
h.	c'ana	c'ani	'moon'
i.	bakala	bakali	'shoulder'

A very clear pattern emerges here. In each singular/plural pair, the two forms are exactly the same except that the singular form ends in /-a/ and the plural form ends in /-i/. Thus we might hypothesize that removing the final vowel in each of these words yields the root morpheme, to which either the singular morpheme /-a/ or the plural morpheme /-i/ can be added.

Next, we have the following data:

j.	kambia	kambi	'cooking pot'
k.	pia	pi	'yam'

Here, the singular forms /kambia/ and /pia/ would indicate that the roots are /kambi/ and /pi/, and yet the plural forms are /kambi/ and /pi/ rather than /kambii/ and /pii/ as our analysis would suggest. A possible explanation for the surface plural forms is that the language observes a rule for simplifying certain kinds of vowel clusters such that /ia/ is permitted but /ii/ simplifies to /i/. The following is one such rule that achieves this:

(3) Vowel Deletion:  $V \rightarrow \emptyset / \_ V[-\text{back}]$

Vowel Deletion ensures that a vowel is deleted just in case it precedes a [-back] vowel (i.e., /i/ or /e/), thus ensuring that /kambii/ simplifies to /kambi/ and /pii/ simplifies to /pi/. Provided that /a/ is [+back] in Kasem, this rule will not affect /kambia/ or /pia/.

Given the current data, the environment constraint in this rule could have been anything that picks out /i/ but not /a/, e.g.,  $\_ V[-\text{low}]$  or  $\_ V[+\text{high}]$ , but the reason for using the [-back] feature will become clear as we consider more data.

Next, we have the following:

l.	buga	bwi	'river'
m.	diga	di	'room'

This data exhibits further complications. The singular forms /buga/ and /diga/ suggest that the root morphemes are /bug/ and /dig/, and so we would predict plural forms /bugi/ and /digi/, yet we see instead /bwi and /di/. Turning first to /bugi/  $\rightarrow$  /bwi/, there must be some rule that deletes /g/ before

/i/ but not before /a/. As with the previous rule, one feature distinguishing /i/ from /a/ is the [back] feature, and so we might write the rule as follows:

(4) Velar Deletion:  $C[+\text{back}] \rightarrow \emptyset / \_ V[-\text{back}]$

In Velar Deletion,  $C[+\text{back}]$  (i.e., any velar or uvular consonant) deletes before any front vowel, thus ensuring that /bugi/ becomes /bui/. Further data will be necessary to test the prediction that not just /g/ but any velar or uvular consonant deletes before a front vowel. Finally, we need a rule in order to ensure that /bui/ becomes /bwi/. Since [+round] is the feature that distinguishes /u/ from all the other vowels seen so far, we might posit a rule that round vowels strengthen to consonants when they precede vowels:

(5) Vowel Fortition (preliminary version):  $[+\text{round}] \rightarrow C / \_ V$

Now, rule ordering becomes important. Velar Deletion must precede Vowel Fortition, so that the former feeds the latter in changing /bugi/ into /bui/ into /bwi/, and Vowel Deletion must go last so that Vowel Fortition bleeds it; otherwise, /bui/ would erroneously change to /bi/.

Turning now to the hypothetical underlying plural form /digi/, our three rules in the order just stipulated predicts Velar Deletion to yield /dii/, followed by Vowel Deletion to yield /di/, and this is exactly what the attested surface form is.

Turning to the next set:

n.	malaa	male	‘chameleon’
o.	kabaa	kabe	‘slave’
p.	zizaa	zize	‘grass roof’

In n–p, we hypothesize the underlying root morphemes /mala/, /kaba/, and /ziza/, yielding plural forms /malai/, /kabai/, and /zizai/. The surface plural forms, however, are /male/, /kabe/, and /zize/. A possible explanation for the presence of /e/ in the surface forms is that the /i/ in the underlying plural form has lowered to become closer in height to the preceding /a/; in other words, there is a height assimilation rule:

(6) Height Assimilation:  $V \rightarrow [-\text{high}] / [-\text{high}] \_$

The effect of this rule will be to transform /malai/, /kabai/, and /zizai/ into /malae/, /kabae/, and /zizae/. Provided this rule applies before Vowel Deletion, it will feed Vowel Deletion to yield the surface forms /male/, /kabe/, and /zize/ as desired. Incidentally, we now see the logic behind formulating the Vowel Deletion environment constraint as  $\_ V[-\text{back}]$ ; this ensures that it will apply to /kabae/ and /zizae/, since /e/ is [-back].

Next we have the following:

q.	laŋa	le	‘song’
r.	naga	ne	‘leg’

In q–r, the hypothetical underlying plural forms are /laŋi/ and /nagi/, and the surface forms are /le/ and /ne/. This will automatically follow from the rules formulated so far, provided that Velar

Deletion precedes Height Assimilation. Velar Deletion will yield /lai/ and /nai/ and feed Height Assimilation to yield /lae/ and /nae/, and finally, Height Assimilation will feed Vowel Deletion to yield /le/ and /ne/.

Turning to the next set:

s.	pia	pe	‘sheep’
t.	babia	babe	‘brave’

In s, we see the singular form /pia/ and the corresponding plural /pe/, which is puzzling because when we considered the same singular form /pia/ meaning ‘yam’, the plural form was /pi/. Thus in the case of /pia/ ‘sheep’, there must be some rule applying to the singular form that makes it look identical to /pia/ ‘yam’. We know that the surface plural form must end in /e/, and we have already seen that the vowel sequence /ai/ yields /e/; thus we might hypothesize that the root morpheme is /pia/. This way, the underlying plural will be /piai/, and Height Assimilation yields /piae/. If the /a/ were to somehow delete to yield /pie/, then the Vowel Deletion rule would yield the correct surface form /pe/. Meanwhile, the underlying singular form is /piaa/, and in order to yield the correct surface form, one of the instances of /a/ needs to delete. The deletion of /a/ in both the singular and the plural forms can be handled if we posit a rule that deletes any vowel that occur between two other vowels:

(7) Triphthong Avoidance:  $V \rightarrow \emptyset / V \_ V$

In the singular form, /piaa/ undergoes Triphthong Avoidance to yield /pia/, and in the plural form, /piai/ undergoes Height Assimilation to yield /piae/; this rule feeds Triphthong Avoidance to yield /pie/, and Triphthong Avoidance feeds Vowel Deletion to yield the correct surface form /pe/.

A parallel process occurs in the case of /babia/ and /babe/ as long as we posit that the root morpheme is /babia/. The underlying singular form /babiaa/ undergoes Triphthong Avoidance to yield /babia/, and the underlying plural form /babiai/ undergoes Height Assimilation to yield /babiae/, followed by Triphthong Avoidance to yield /babie/, followed by Vowel Deletion to yield the correct surface form /babe/.

Next we have:

u.	nanjua	nanjwe	‘fly’
v.	yua	ywe	‘hair’

The similarity of this data set to the previous one suggests similar underlying forms. In the case of /nanjua/ and /nanjwe/, we might posit that the underlying root morpheme is /nanjua/. This means that the underlying singular form is /nanjuaa/, to which Triphthong Avoidance applies to yield the correct surface form /nanjua/. In order to ensure that Vowel Fortition does not apply to erroneously yield /nanjwa/, however, we need to alter it slightly:

(8) Vowel Fortition (final version):  $[+round] \rightarrow C / \_ V[-back]$

Now, Vowel Fortition applies only when the following vowel is [-back]. Since /a/ is [+back], /nanjua/ will not become /nanjwa/.

As for the underlying plural form /nanjuai/, the correct surface form is already predicted provided the rules are ordered correctly. Height Assimilation yields /nanjuae/, which feeds Triphthong Avoidance to yield /nanjue/, which feeds Vowel Fortition to yield the correct surface form /nanjwe/.

Similarly in the case of /yua/ and /ywe/: if the root morpheme is /yua/, then the underlying singular form /yuaa/ will undergo Triphthong Avoidance to yield /yua/, and the underlying plural form /yuai/ will undergo Height Assimilation to yield /yuae/, which feeds Triphthong Avoidance to yield /yue/, which feeds Vowel Fortition to yield the correct surface form /ywe/.

To recap, we have seen that Velar Deletion must precede Height Assimilation (as in q and r), which must precede Triphthong Avoidance (as in s–v), which must precede Vowel Fortition (as in u and v), which must precede Vowel Deletion (as in l):

- (9) Velar Deletion:  $C[+back] \rightarrow \emptyset / \_ V[-back]$
- (10) Height Assimilation:  $V \rightarrow [-high] / [-high] \_$
- (11) Triphthong Avoidance:  $V \rightarrow \emptyset / V \_ V$
- (12) Vowel Fortition:  $[+round] \rightarrow C / \_ V[-back]$
- (13) Vowel Deletion:  $V \rightarrow \emptyset / \_ V[-back]$

These five rules applied in the order just presented is sufficient for capturing the last data set:

- w. koga kwe ‘back’
- x. c’oŋa c’we ‘path’

In w, the underlying root morpheme is /kog/, and so the singular form is /koga/. The underlying plural form /kogi/ undergoes Velar Deletion to yield /koi/, which feeds Height Assimilation to yield /koe/, which feeds Vowel Fortition to yield /kwe/ (and we now have further evidence that Vowel Fortition should apply to the set of [+round] vowels). Similarly, in x, the root morpheme is /c’oŋ/, and the singular form is /c’oŋa/. The underlying plural form is /c’oŋi/, which undergoes Velar Deletion to yield /c’oi/, which feeds Height Assimilation to yield /c’oe/, which feeds Vowel Fortition to yield the correct surface form /c’we/.

The chart on the next page summarizes how the five posited rules interact to produce the correct surface forms for all the data in j–x.

Input	Vlr. Del.	Hgt. Assim.	Trip. Avd.	V Frt.	V Del.	Surface Form
kambi-a	→	→	→	→	→	kambia
kambi-i	→	→	→	→	kambi	kambi
pi-a	→	→	→	→	→	pia
pi-i	→	→	→	→	pi	pi
bug-a	→	→	→	→	→	buga
bug-i	bui	→	→	bwi	→	bwi
dig-a	→	→	→	→	→	diga
dig-i	dii	→	→	→	di	di
mala-a	→	→	→	→	→	malaa
mala-i	→	malae	→	→	male	male
kaba-a	→	→	→	→	→	kabaa
kaba-i	→	kabae	→	→	kabe	kabe
ziza-a	→	→	→	→	→	zizaa
ziza-i	→	zizae	→	→	zize	zize
lanj-a	→	→	→	→	→	lanja
lanj-i	lai	lae	→	→	le	le
nag-a	→	→	→	→	→	naga
nag-i	nai	nae	→	→	ne	ne
pia-a	→	→	pia	→	→	pia
pia-i	→	pie	pie	→	pe	pe
babia-a	→	→	babia	→	→	babia
babia-i	→	babiae	babie	→	babe	babe
nanjua-a	→	→	nanjua	→	→	nanjua
nanjua-i	→	nanjuae	nanjue	nanjwe	→	nanjwe
yua-a	→	→	yua	→	→	yua
yua-i	→	yuae	yue	ywe	→	ywe
kog-a	→	→	→	→	→	koga
kog-i	koi	koe	→	kwe	→	kwe
c'oŋ-a	→	→	→	→	→	c'oŋa
c'oŋ-i	coi	coe	→	cwe	→	cwe